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## Enrollment Insights and Benchmarking Report Class of 2023

A comparative analysis of who applied, who was accepted, who enrolled, where the others went, and what influenced their decisions.

Note: This sample report is comprised of real data aggregated across multiple institutions in order to protect the identity of any one institution while providing a realistic comparative analysis.

## Executive Summary

This report is a quantitative and qualitative, segmented analysis of the application, admission, and enrollment patterns of 121,189 student users of Scoir from the Class of 2023. Information used in this study was sourced directly from students' educational records whereas qualitative feedback about factors that influenced students' decision-making was gathered through a survey of Scoir users. Findings identified in this report are notable observations of data correlations or anomalies; they are not intended to draw inferences, provide explanations, or make recommendations.

## Most Notable Observations

- Racial and ethnic diversity of applicants is less than Private Peer Cohorts but similar to Public Peer Cohorts. (Page 9)
- Academic achievement of admitted students was consistent across income groups but varied significantly across racial and ethnic groups. (Page 9)
- Socioeconomic diversity of both applicants and enrolled students is less than Private Peer Cohorts and Public Peer Cohorts. (Page 10)
- Admitted students have higher academic achievement than those admitted by peer cohorts, but enrollment yield for the most academically qualified admits lags those experienced by peer cohorts. (Page 10)
- Students from top private high schools exhibit the lowest yield. (Page 10)
- Majority of enrolled students applied Early Decision whereas non-enrolled admits had more offers of admission from which to choose. (Page 11)
- Enrollment students are more concerned about value and jobs. (Page 11)
- Students are most influenced by academic reputation and the campus, but less than half of underrepresented admits visited campus before enrolling. (Page 12)
- Merit award recipients did not yield at a higher rate than other students, whereas peer cohorts experienced a slight improvement. (Page 12)


## About Scoir

Scoir helps colleges find, engage, and enroll best-fit students.
Created to expand college access and improve student outcomes, we give students tools to help them understand their talents and potential so they can discover the right college. Then, we connect them with the right information and people (parents/supporters, high schools, colleges, and CBOs) to help them make smarter decisions about their education.

The results speak for themselves:

- More than 2,200 high schools across the country trust Scoir to guide their students through the awareness and admissions process, including half of the Niche 1,000 Best College Prep Private Schools and more than 600 Title I public schools.
- 1-in-8 college-bound students from the Class of 2023 used Scoir to discover and engage with colleges of interest, and we've consistently expanded the size of our network $+30 \%$ each year.
- Our college customers receive $51 \%$ more applications that result in $68 \%$ more enrollments.
- Working with our college partners uncovered that between $50 \%$ and $84 \%$ of students following our customers on Scoir were stealth and not acquired through other sources.

We're changing the way colleges think about student recruitment while traditional methods are becoming less effective by the day. It's time to take a fresh approach to your enrollment marketing and management with Scoir.

Will you join us? See the future at Scoir.com/colleges.
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## Methodology

This study analyzes the application, admission, and enrollment patterns of 121,189 student users of Scoir from the Class of 2023 who had indicated an enrollment selection prior to July 1, 2023. Information contained in students' educational records was used for segmentation and cohort analysis. Ninety-three percent ( $93 \%$ ) of users included in this study are confirmed high school students whose accounts were provisioned by their high schools. Data contained in these students' educational records, including race, sex, home address, GPAs, and standardized test scores, were managed by their school counselors and/or updated directly from their school's student information system.

Upon making an enrollment selection in Scoir, students were presented a survey intended to quantitatively and qualitatively assess the factors that influenced their decisions about where to apply and where to enroll. Surveys were presented between March 19, 2023 and June 30, 2023 and respondents were enrolled in a random drawing to win a $\$ 500$ Amazon ${ }^{\circledR}$ gift card. The survey was presented to 52,718 students, of which $12,285(23 \%)$ completed the survey in part or in whole.

## Segmentation

In conducting our analysis, we segmented the student applicant pool across multiple characteristics to identify anomalies in acceptance rates and or enrollment yields within specific subsegments of the applicant population. Segmented data for the subject institution was then compared among one or more cohorts of similar institutions to identify competitive advantages and disadvantages in enrollment management. Applicant segmentation parameters include the following.

Class rank percentiles are derived by ranking each student's weighted GPA, or unweighted GPA when no weighted GPA exists, in comparison to all other students in the same school and class year.

Note: While Common Data Set relies on reported class rank, which typically encompasses no more than 30\% of enrolled students, Scoir maintains GPA data for an entire school population and therefore is uniquely able to impute class rank for nearly all students.

Distance from home is measured using the latitude and longitude coordinates of the student's home address, or high school when home address is unknown, and those of the college.

First generation college student status is self-reported by the student. For benchmarking purposes, the UCLA-CIRP The American Freshman: National Norms (2019) noted that 19\% of first-year students enrolled at four-year institutions identified themselves as First-Generation.

High school classification is primarily determined by institutional control. Public high schools are further segmented by Title 1 eligibility using U.S. Department of Education's Common Core of Data. Private high schools are further segmented by their inclusion in Niche's list of the 1,000 Best College Prep Private High Schools in America, which ranks approximately the top $1 / 3$ of all private schools. Private schools account for about $10 \%$ of all high school graduates, $20 \%$ of four-year college-bound students, and typically about $21 \%$ of National Merit Semifinalists.

Home geographic region is determined using students' home addresses, or high school addresses when home address is unknown. Regions are categorized using the U.S. Census Bureau's state grouping of nine census divisions: New England, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, East North Central, East South Central, West North Central, West South Central, Mountain, and Pacific.


Household income is derived using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and U.S. Census Bureau joint Current Population Survey Tables for Household Income and the student's home address zip code, or high school zip code when home address is unknown. Household income quintiles are based on the U.S. Census Bureau's Historical Income Tables, March 2023.

| Household Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quintile | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | Mean |
| Lowest | - | $\$ 28,007$ | $\$ 14,859$ |
| Second | $\$ 28,008$ | $\$ 55,000$ | $\$ 41,025$ |
| Middle | $\$ 55,001$ | $\$ 89,744$ | $\$ 70,879$ |
| Fourth | $\$ 89,745$ | $\$ 149,131$ | $\$ 115,462$ |
| Highest | $\$ 149,132$ | - | $\$ 269,356$ |

Peer cohorts are determined by the number of shared student applicants, adjusted for relative differences in the number of total applicants each institution receives and the relative size of their full-time undergraduate student populations.

Race and ethnicity are collected in accordance with guidelines provided by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Students may choose to report more than one race to indicate their racial mixture and students who identify their origin as Hispanic or Latino may be of any race. Aggregate data may exceed $100 \%$ due to students selecting more than one race/ethnicity combination.

Standardized test score percentiles are based on the national percentile rankings of ACT and SAT test takers as published in The ACT's ACT Score National Ranks (2022-2023) and College Board's SAT: Understanding Scores (2022) using students' highest combined score of either exam. Test scores are not limited by test optional reporting. Not all high school counselors or students provided test score information.

| Percentile | ACT Composite | SAT Composite |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1st - 20th | $1-14$ | $400-850$ |
| 21st - 40th | $15-17$ | $855-980$ |
| 41st-60th | $18-21$ | $985-1100$ |
| 61st -80 th | $22-25$ | $1105-1240$ |
| 81st -90th | $26-28$ | $1245-1360$ |
| 91st -95 th | $29-31$ | $1365-1440$ |
| 96th -98th | $32-35$ | $1445-1520$ |
| 99th + | $34-36$ | $1525-1600$ |

## Demographics

## of Scoir's 121,189 enrolled cohort

Scoir's high school student users are not representative of high school students nationally nor are they representative of the entire four-year college-bound student population.

Scoir users are more likely to have higher GPAs and standardized test scores than the average applicant to a four-year college. The median student tested near the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $24 \%$ ranked in the top $20 \%$ of their graduating class. Scoir users are also more likely to come from a higher income family and attend a private high school, yet it is significant that over $30,000(25 \%)$ students come from households with incomes below $\$ 90,000$, nearly 1 -in-4 attend a Title 1 public high school, and almost 20,000 (16\%) are first-generation students, compared to the national average of $19 \%$ for students enrolling in four-year institutions. More than one-third of those students with household incomes below \$90,000 enrolled in a "most selective" or "highly selective" institution.

The following segmentation tables describe the student population included in this study.

| Race and Ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $1 \%(1,509)$ | American Indian or <br> Alaska Native |
| $14 \%(16,604)$ | Asian |
| $13 \%(15,742)$ | Black or African <br> American |
| $26 \%(31,019)$ | Hispanic or Latino |
| $1 \%(1,078)$ | Native Hawaiian or <br> Pacific Islander |
| $71 \%(86,256)$ | White |

First Generation Student

| $16 \%(19,754)$ | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $84 \%(101,435)$ | No |


| High School Classification |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $33 \%(39,579)$ | Public, Regular |
| $22 \%(26,223)$ | Public, Title 1 |
| $29 \%(35,168)$ | Private, Top 1000 |
| $17 \%(20,219)$ | Private, Unranked |

## Standardized Test Score

| $5 \%(5,675)$ | Lowest quintile |
| :---: | :--- |
| $9 \%(11,350)$ | Second quintile |
| $11 \%(13,364)$ | Middle quintile |
| $28 \%(33,501)$ | Fourth quintile |
| $16 \%(19,405)$ | $81^{\text {st }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile |
| $14 \%(17,208)$ | $91^{\text {st }}$ to $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile |
| $13 \%(15,744)$ | $96^{\text {th }}$ to $98^{\text {th }}$ percentile |
| $4 \%(4,943)$ | $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile |


| Class Rank |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $16 \%(18,999)$ | Lowest quintile |
| $19 \%(22,799)$ | Second quintile |
| $20 \%(24,564)$ | Middle quintile |
| $22 \%(26,192)$ | Fourth quintile |
| $11 \%(13,435)$ | $81^{\text {st }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile |
| $6 \%(6,921)$ | $91^{\text {st }}$ to $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile |
| $7 \%(8,278)$ | $96^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile |

No. of Applications Submitted

| $22 \%(26,177)$ | 1 application |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \%(34,660)$ | $2-5$ applications |
| $18 \%(21,450)$ | $6-8$ applications |
| $16 \%(19,875)$ | $9-12$ applications |
| $16 \%(19,027)$ | $>12$ applications |

Geographic Region

| $10 \%(11,764)$ | New England |
| :---: | :--- |
| $17 \%(20,709)$ | Middle Atlantic |
| $29 \%(35,658)$ | South Atlantic |
| $7 \%(8,700)$ | East North Central |
| $\mathbf{8 \%}(9,313)$ | East South Central |
| $5 \%(6,494)$ | West North Central |
| $7 \%(9,068)$ | West South Central |
| $5 \%(6,372)$ | Mountain |
| $11 \%(13,111)$ | Pacific |

Enrolled Distance from Home

| $32 \%(38,954)$ | within 25 miles |
| :---: | :--- |
| $7 \%(9,027)$ | $25-50$ miles |
| $10 \%(12,366)$ | $50-100$ miles |
| $14 \%(17,065)$ | $100-200$ miles |
| $16 \%(19,786)$ | $200-500$ miles |
| $10 \%(11,748)$ | $500-1000$ miles |
| $10 \%(12,243)$ | beyond 1000 miles |

## Peer Cohorts

For comparative benchmarking purposes, we segmented overlap institutions, as identified by Scoir, by institutional control and created separate cohorts for the following top 12 private institutions and top 6 public institutions.

## Private Institutions

1. American University
2. Bucknell University
3. Colgate University
4. Denison University
5. Dickinson College
6. Franklin \& Marshall College
7. George Washington University
8. Hampton University
9. Lafayette College
10. Lehigh University
11. University of Miami
12. University of Richmond

## Public Institutions

1. The Ohio State University
2. William \& Mary
3. University of Maryland
4. Clemson University
5. University of South Carolina
6. University of Pittsburgh

Institutions that enrolled multiple students admitted by Sample University, but which are not included in the peer cohorts above, include:

- Boston College
- Case Western Reserve University
- Duke University
- Indiana University
- New York University
- Northeastern University
- Penn State University
- Rutgers University
- Tulane University of Louisiana
- University of California, Berkeley
- University of California, Los Angeles
- University of Colorado Boulder
- University of Florida
- University of Michigan
- University of Pennsylvania
- University of Texas at Austin
- University of Virginia
- University of Wisconsin
- Vanderbilt University
- Villanova University
- Wake Forest University
- Washington University in St. Louis

We grouped these and other institutions into commonly accepted groupings to analyze comparative outcomes of overlapped applicants and admits. This analysis is found in Competitive Group Overlaps and Enrollment Outcomes on page 12.

## Key Findings

These finding attempt to highlight notable observations from the Data Tables that follow. Our observations merely identify data outliers and significant deviations; we intentionally do not draw inferences or attempt to explain the data observed.

The data is compiled from Scoir users representing 3,439 students from the Class of 2023 who applied to Sample University, of which 1,663 (48\%) were admitted, 504 (30\%) enrolled, and 1,159 (70\%) enrolled elsewhere. Application outcomes and enrollment decisions are self-reported by students or recorded by counselors. Scoir restricts students to one enrollment designation only and that designation determines where counselors send a student's final academic transcript.

## Racial and ethnic diversity of applicants is less than Private Peer Cohorts but similar to Public Peer Cohorts.

Private Peer Cohort institutions attract a greater percentage of historically underrepresented college students, receiving twice as many ( $41 \%$ ) applications from Black and Latino students. Public Peer Cohort institutions, however, had no significant differences in the racial and ethnic diversity of their applicant pools.

| Race / <br> Ethnicity | Sample <br> Univ. | Applicants <br> Private <br> Peers | Public <br> Peers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Black | $8 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Latino | $12 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| White | $74 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## Academic achievement of admitted students was consistent across income groups but varied significantly across racial and ethnic groups.

More than half ( $54 \%$ ) of admitted students scored above the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile on standardized testing and nearly one-in-five (19\%) ranked in the Top $10 \%$ of their graduating class. These percentages were fairly consistent across income groups, with admits from high-income families (household incomes exceeding \$149,132) more likely to have higher standardized test scores and admits from low-income

| HS Type | Top 10\% <br> ACT/SAT | Top 10\% <br> Class Rank | Non-Enrollments <br> Top 10\% <br> ACT/SAT |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 10\% <br> Class Rank |  |  |  |  |
| Average | $54 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Asian | $72 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Black | $23 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Latino | $37 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| White | $60 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| High Income | $58 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Middle Income | $46 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Low Income | $47 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $28 \%$ | families (household incomes below $\$ 55,000$ ) more likely to have a higher class rank. There were, however, noticeable differences across racial and ethnic groups, with $23 \%$ of admitted Black students scoring above the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile on standardized testing and $5 \%$ ranking in the Top $10 \%$ of their graduating class whereas $72 \%$ of admitted Asian students scoring above the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile on standardized testing and $21 \%$ ranking in the Top $10 \%$ of their graduating class.

Of admits who chose not to enroll, $63 \%$ scored above the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile on standardized testing and $23 \%$ ranked in the Top $10 \%$ of their graduating class. Yield patterns were also fairly consistent across income groups while varied across racial and ethnic groups, meaning behavior of the most academically qualified students was similar regardless of income or racial and ethnic background.

## Socioeconomic diversity of both applicants and enrolled students is less than Private Peer Cohorts and Public Peer Cohorts.

Students from low-income families (household incomes below $\$ 55,000$ ) comprise just $5 \%$ of applicants and $2 \%$ of enrollments, compared to $11 \% / 8 \%$ for the Private Peer Cohort and 7\% / 7\% for the Public Peer Cohort. These students were also admitted at a rate $24 \%$ below average, compared with admission rates $12 \%$ below average for the

| Household <br> Income | Sample <br> Univ. | Applicants <br> Private <br> Peers | Public <br> Peers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Middle Income | $14 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| High Income | $80 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $75 \%$ | Private Peer Cohort Data and 7\% above average for the Public Peer Cohort. Comparative trends were similar, to a lesser degree, for students from middle-income families (household incomes $\$ 55,000$ to $\$ 149,000$ ). While only a small percentage of the admitted population, yield for low-income students was $50 \%$ below average and $7 \%$ below average for middleincome students, whereas both peer cohorts experienced much less of a difference.

Admitted students have higher academic achievement than those admitted by peer cohorts, but enrollment yield for the most academically qualified admits lags those experienced by peer cohorts.

Students who scored above the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile on the ACT or SAT comprise $31 \%$ of admits yet just $15 \%$ of enrollments, compared to $29 \%$ and $16 \%$ for the Private Peer Cohort and $30 \%$ and $21 \%$ for the Public Peer Cohort. Similarly, students who graduated in the Top 5\% of their class comprised 10\% of admits yet just 4\% of enrollments, compared to $11 \%$ and $6 \%$ for the Private Peer Cohort and $11 \%$ and $7 \%$ for the Public Peer Cohort. Enrollment yield for the most academically qualified admits, i.e., those students above the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile on standardized testing or in the Top $5 \%$ of their graduating

| Academic <br> Achievement | Percent of Enrollments <br> Sample <br> Univ. | Private <br> Peers | Public <br> Peers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACT/SAT <br> $91^{\text {st-99th }}$ pctl | $37 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| ACT/SAT | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| $96^{\text {th }}$-99 |  |  |  | class, was $56 \%$ below the average yield. This was a greater difference than that experienced by Private Peer Cohorts ( $-48 \%$ ) and Public Peer Cohorts ( $-31 \%$ ).

## Students from top private high schools exhibit the lowest yield.

Students attending private high schools constituted a high percentage of applicants ( $61 \%$ ), admits ( $67 \%$ ), and enrollments (62\%), though only slightly higher than both peer cohorts. Conversely, students attending Title 1 public high schools constituted just $12 \%$ of applicants, $10 \%$ of admits, and $11 \%$ of enrollments. Yields for public school students was $13 \%$ above average, which outperformed both the Private Peer Cohort and Public Peer Cohort.

| HS Type | Enrollment Yield |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private <br> Univ. | Public <br> Peers | Peers |
| Average | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Public, Regular | $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Public, Title 1 | $33 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Private, Top 1000 | $27 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Private, Unranked | $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $25 \%$ | Interestingly, students attending a Top 1000 private high school had the highest acceptance rate (54\%) but the lowest yield (27\%) of all school segments.

## Majority of enrolled students applied Early Decision whereas non-enrolled admits had more offers of admission from which to choose.

A full $60 \%$ of the enrolled class applied early decision with an acceptance rate of $43 \%$, whereas regular decision admits applied to nearly 14 separate institutions and were accepted to an average of 7.3 institutions. Non-enrolled admits applied to an average of 14.2 institutions, of which they were accepted to an average of 7.9 institutions. Simply put, non-enrolled students had a lot more options from which to choose.

## Enrollment students are more concerned about value and jobs.

Enrolled students rated reputation for helping students get jobs after graduation as the \#3 issue influencing their decisions, with a rating of 3.5 out of 4.0 , and value for money as the \#4 most important issue, with a 3.42 rating, while amount of scholarships and grants received was ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ with a 3.12 rating. For non-enrolled students, those factors had lower ratings of 3.41, 3.24 and 2.8, respectively. Conversely, admitted students who chose not to enroll were more influenced by surrounding location of campus ( 3.21 rating vs. 3.16 for enrolled students) and student social life and school spirit ( 3.2 rating vs. 3.16 for enrolled students). The following charts show the factors that most influenced the enrollment decisions of different student populations.

## Factors Influencing Your Enrollment Decision



## Students are most influenced by academic reputation and the campus, but less than half of underrepresented admits visited campus before enrolling.

The top 3 issues influencing where students apply are consistently visit to campus, parents and guardians, and college website. Unfortunately, less than half (44\%) of admitted students from underrepresented groups (i.e., Black, Latino, first generation, and/or low income) reported visiting campus while all (100\%) of those that did visit campus rated the experience as "Very Influential." This highlights the positive impact potential of fly-in programs for underrepresented students.

There was also strong consistency as to the factors that influenced a student's enrollment selection, with a school's academic reputation and the attractiveness of its campus rated the top 2 reasons. Similarly, a student's campus visit experience was also a strong influencing factor in enrollment decisions for admits. While many factors combine to influence where students apply and where they enroll, one cannot underestimate the importance of the campus visit experience.

| Enrollment <br> Influencer | Sample <br> Univ. | Rank <br> Private <br> Peers | Public <br> Peers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic <br> Reputation | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |
| Attractiveness <br> of Campus | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ |
| Campus Visit <br> Experience | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ |

## Merit award recipients did not yield at a higher rate than other students, whereas peer cohorts experienced a slight improvement.

Admits receiving financial aid had a noticeably higher yield (+25\%), whereas students receiving merit awards did not yield at a higher rate. Conversely, Private Peer Cohorts experienced a lesser increase ( $+9 \%$ ) in yield from students receiving financial aid but a more significant increase (+15\%) from those receiving a merit award. Oddly, students' perception of

| Aid / Award | Enrollment Yield |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received | Sample <br> Univ. | Private <br> Peers | Public <br> Peers |
| Average | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Financial Aid | $38 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Merit Award | $30 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $24 \%$ | "fairness" in awarded amounts did not further improve yield rates, indicating the mere granting of aid was more influential than the amount awarded.

## Data Tables

## Competitive Group Overlaps and Enrollment Outcomes

| Competitive Group | Common Applicants | Admittances |  |  |  | Common Admits | Enrollments |  |  | Head-to-Head Win Rate | Lost Enrollments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Both | Sample Univ. only | Competitor only | Neither |  | Sample Univ. | Competitor | Elsewhere |  |  |
| Private Peer Cohort | 1,665 | 26\% | 22\% | 15\% | 38\% | 429 | 11\% | 11\% | 78\% | 50\% | 48 |
| Public Peer Cohort | 1,873 | 33\% | 17\% | 23\% | 28\% | 618 | 16\% | 11\% | 73\% | 58\% | 70 |
| "Ivy Plus" Universities | 1,535 | 6\% | 39\% | 7\% | 48\% | 93 | 6\% | 57\% | 37\% | 10\% | 53 |
| Service Academies | 43 | 30\% | 16\% | 28\% | 26\% | 13 | 0\% | 77\% | 23\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Top National Liberal Arts Colleges | 193 | 8\% | 31\% | 8\% | 53\% | 16 | 0\% | 25\% | 75\% | 0\% | 4 |
| Top National Research Universities | 1,605 | 7\% | 37\% | 8\% | 49\% | 105 | 9\% | 45\% | 47\% | 16\% | 47 |
| Top State Flagship Universities | 4,328 | 18\% | 18\% | 16\% | 47\% | 800 | 12\% | 46\% | 43\% | 20\% | 366 |

The table above assesses overlapping admittances and enrollment outcomes of identified peer cohorts and the most selective institutions across several generally recognized college groupings. Institutions included in each competitive grouping are as follows.

## Ivy Plus Universities

- Brown University
- Columbia University
- Cornell University
- Dartmouth College
- Harvard University
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- Princeton University
- Stanford University
- University of Pennsylvania
- Yale University


## Top State Flagship Universities

- The University of Texas at Austin
- University of California, Berkeley
- University of Florida
- University of Michigan
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- University of Virginia


## Top National Research Universities

- Duke University
- Johns Hopkins University
- Northwestern University
- Rice University
- University of Chicago
- Vanderbilt University

Top National Liberal Arts Colleges

- Amherst College
- Bowdoin College
- Pomona College
- Swarthmore College
- Wellesley College
- Williams College

Service Academies

- U.S. Air Force Academy
- U.S. Military Academy at West Point
- U.S. Naval Academy

Student Populations and Outcomes: Sample University

|  | Applicants $(3,439)$ | Admits $(1,663)$ | Enrollments (504) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Non- } \\ \text { Enrollments } \\ (1,159) \end{array}$ | Acceptance <br> Rate (48\%) | Deviation from Average | Enrollment <br> Yield (30\%) | Deviation from Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity and Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 36\% | -25\% | 43\% | 43\% |
| Aslan | 16.7\% | 15.5\% | 8.8\% | 18.1\% | 45\% | -7\% | 17\% | -43\% |
| Black or African American | 8.4\% | 7.1\% | 6.7\% | 7.2\% | 41\% | -15\% | 29\% | -5\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 12.4\% | 12.3\% | 13.1\% | 11.8\% | 48\% | -7\% | 32\% | 7\% |
| Native Hawalian or Pacific Islander | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 73\% | 51\% | 22\% | -29\% |
| White | 73.9\% | 76.4\% | 83.4\% | 73.8\% | 50\% | 3\% | 33\% | 9\% |
| Biological Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 52.2\% | 54.3\% | 53.2\% | 54.7\% | 50\% | 4\% | 30\% | -2\% |
| Male | 47.5\% | 45.2\% | 46.3\% | 44.7\% | 46\% | -5\% | 31\% | 2\% |
| Rather Not Say | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 64\% | 33\% | 31\% | 1\% |
| First-Generation Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 10.6\% | 7.9\% | 9.4\% | 7.2\% | 36\% | -26\% | 36\% | 19\% |
| No | 89.4\% | 92.1\% | 90.5\% | 92.8\% | 50\% | 3\% | 30\% | -2\% |
| Household Income Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 32\% | -34\% | 13\% | -57\% |
| Second | 3.2\% | 2.6\% | 1.4\% | 3.2\% | 40\% | -17\% | 16\% | -46\% |
| Third | 4.8\% | 5.3\% | 6.8\% | 4.7\% | 53\% | 10\% | 39\% | 29\% |
| Fourth | 9.4\% | 10.9\% | 8.2\% | 12.0\% | 56\% | 15\% | 23\% | -24\% |
| Highest | 80.4\% | 79.6\% | 82.9\% | 78.3\% | 48\% | -7\% | 32\% | 4\% |
| High School Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public, Regular | 26.4\% | 23.1\% | 26.3\% | 21.7\% | 42\% | -13\% | 34\% | 14\% |
| Public, Title 1 | 12.2\% | 10.3\% | 11.3\% | 9.8\% | 41\% | -16\% | 33\% | 10\% |
| Private, Top 1000 | 46.3\% | 51.6\% | 46.5\% | 53.8\% | 54\% | 11\% | 27\% | -10\% |
| Private, Unranked | 15.0\% | 15.0\% | 15.9\% | 14.6\% | 48\% | 0\% | 32\% | 6\% |
| Standardized Test Score National Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Quintile | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 38\% | -22\% | 31\% | 2\% |
| Second Quintile | 3.1\% | 2.5\% | 4.4\% | 1.7\% | 39\% | -20\% | 53\% | 76\% |
| Third Quintile | 4.3\% | 2.8\% | 4.6\% | 2.1\% | 32\% | -34\% | 49\% | 63\% |
| Fourth Quintile | 22.0\% | 20.1\% | 29.8\% | 16.0\% | 44\% | -9\% | 45\% | 48\% |
| 81st to 90th Percentile | 19.8\% | 18.7\% | 24.0\% | 16.4\% | 46\% | -6\% | 39\% | 28\% |
| 91st to 95th Percentile | 23.7\% | 24.1\% | 22.2\% | 24.8\% | 49\% | 2\% | 28\% | -8\% |
| 96th to 98th Percentile | 21.8\% | 25.2\% | 13.1\% | 30.4\% | 56\% | 16\% | 16\% | -48\% |
| 99th Percentile | 4.6\% | 6.1\% | 1.4\% | 8.1\% | 64\% | 32\% | 7\% | -77\% |
| Class Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Quintile | 9.9\% | 8.6\% | 15.8\% | 5.5\% | 42\% | -13\% | 56\% | 84\% |
| Second Quintile | 16.1\% | 14.2\% | 20.8\% | 11.3\% | 43\% | -12\% | 44\% | 47\% |
| Third Quintile | 20.3\% | 18.1\% | 20.8\% | 16.9\% | 43\% | -11\% | 35\% | 15\% |
| Fourth Quintile | 25.1\% | 24.6\% | 21.7\% | 25.8\% | 48\% | -2\% | 27\% | -12\% |
| 81st to 90th Percentile | 14.1\% | 15.2\% | 10.0\% | 17.3\% | 52\% | 8\% | 20\% | -34\% |
| 91st to 95th Percentile | 7.1\% | 9.5\% | 6.7\% | 10.7\% | 64\% | 33\% | 21\% | -30\% |
| 96th to 99th Percentile | 7.5\% | 9.9\% | 4.1\% | 12.5\% | 64\% | 31\% | 13\% | -59\% |
| Home Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England | 16.7\% | 16.3\% | 15.6\% | 16.6\% | 47\% | -2\% | 29\% | -5\% |
| Middle Atlantic | 46.7\% | 43.4\% | 52.7\% | 39.4\% | 45\% | -7\% | 37\% | 21\% |
| South Atlantic | 16.7\% | 19.3\% | 18.2\% | 19.7\% | 56\% | 15\% | 29\% | -5\% |
| East North Central | 3.2\% | 3.1\% | 2.6\% | 3.4\% | 47\% | -4\% | 25\% | -16\% |
| East South Central | 2.2\% | 2.7\% | 1.0\% | 3.5\% | 59\% | 22\% | 11\% | -63\% |
| West North Central | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 48\% | -7\% | 34\% | 11\% |
| West South Central | 2.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% | 33\% | -32\% | 11\% | -65\% |
| Mountain | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | 48\% | -1\% | 29\% | -5\% |
| Pacific | 8.6\% | 10.2\% | 6.2\% | 11.9\% | 57\% | 18\% | 19\% | -39\% |
| 25-50 miles | 7.4\% | 7.4\% | 7.4\% | 7.3\% | 48\% | -7\% | 30\% | 0\% |
| 50-100 miles | 11.9\% | 14.4\% | 25.8\% | 9.4\% | 58\% | 21\% | 54\% | 79\% |
| within 100 miles | 29.2\% | 29.3\% | 34.8\% | 26.7\% | 48\% | 0\% | 36\% | 19\% |
| 100-200 miles | 21.7\% | 23.7\% | 35.0\% | 18.7\% | 53\% | 9\% | 45\% | 47\% |
| 200-500 miles | 22.0\% | 20.8\% | 15.4\% | 23.1\% | 46\% | -6\% | 22\% | -26\% |
| 500-1000 miles | 12.9\% | 11.4\% | 4.4\% | 14.4\% | 43\% | -12\% | 12\% | -61\% |
| beyond 1000 miles | 14.3\% | 15.0\% | 10.4\% | 17.1\% | 51\% | 5\% | 21\% | -31\% |

Student Populations and Outcomes: Private Peer Cohort

|  | Applicants $(17,200)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Admits } \\ & (8,123) \end{aligned}$ | Difference from Sublect | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enrollments } \\ & (2,567) \end{aligned}$ | Difference from Subject | Acceptance Rate (47\%) | Deviation from Average | Enrollment <br> Yield (32\%) | Deviation from Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity and Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 54\% | 15\% | 28\% | -12\% |
| Aslan | 14.6\% | 14.9\% | -1\% | 11.5\% | 3\% | 48\% | 2\% | 24\% | -23\% |
| Black or African American | 14.5\% | 15.5\% | 8\% | 12.3\% | 6\% | 51\% | 7\% | 25\% | -21\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 26.1\% | 23.2\% | 11\% | 23.7\% | 11\% | 42\% | -11\% | 32\% | 2\% |
| Native Hawalian or Pacific Islander | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% | 31\% | -34\% | 32\% | 3\% |
| White | 69.5\% | 68.1\% | -8\% | 75.0\% | -8\% | 46\% | -2\% | 35\% | 10\% |
| Blological Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 58.8\% | 57.5\% | 3\% | 56.6\% | 3\% | 46\% | -2\% | 31\% | -7\% |
| Male | 41.0\% | 42.3\% | -3\% | 43.1\% | -3\% | 49\% | 3\% | 32\% | 2\% |
| Rather Not Say | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 47\% | 0\% | 32\% | 0\% |
| First-Generation Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 16.0\% | 11.9\% | 4\% | 11.3\% | 2\% | 35\% | -25\% | 30\% | -5\% |
| No | 84.0\% | 88.2\% | -4\% | 88.7\% | -2\% | 50\% | 5\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| Household Income Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 4.9\% | 4.7\% | 3\% | 3.7\% | 3\% | 45\% | -4\% | 25\% | -22\% |
| Second | 6.2\% | 5.1\% | 2\% | 4.0\% | 3\% | 39\% | -18\% | 25\% | -22\% |
| Third | 7.7\% | 7.6\% | 2\% | 7.7\% | 1\% | 47\% | -1\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| Fourth | 14.3\% | 13.7\% | 3\% | 13.9\% | 6\% | 45\% | -4\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| Highest | 66.9\% | 69.0\% | -11\% | 70.9\% | -12\% | 49\% | 3\% | 32\% | 3\% |
| High School Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public, Regular | 28.0\% | 26.0\% | 3\% | 26.6\% | 0\% | 44\% | -7\% | 32\% | 2\% |
| Public, Title 1 | 12.1\% | 10.5\% | 0\% | 10.0\% | -1\% | 41\% | -13\% | 30\% | -5\% |
| Private, Top 1000 | 45.2\% | 49.0\% | -3\% | 48.5\% | 2\% | 51\% | 8\% | 31\% | -1\% |
| Private, Unranked | 14.7\% | 14.5\% | -1\% | 14.8\% | -1\% | 47\% | -1\% | 32\% | 2\% |
| Standardized Test Score National Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Quintile | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 29\% | -39\% | 26\% | -79\% |
| Second Quintlie | 4.1\% | 2.9\% | 0\% | 3.3\% | -1\% | 33\% | -29\% | 36\% | 14\% |
| Third Quintile | 5.7\% | 4.1\% | 1\% | 5.3\% | 1\% | 34\% | -28\% | 41\% | 29\% |
| Fourth Quintile | 24.7\% | 21.2\% | 1\% | 29.3\% | -1\% | 41\% | -14\% | 44\% | 38\% |
| 81 st to 90th Percentlle | 19.9\% | 18.6\% | 0\% | 22.5\% | -2\% | 44\% | -6\% | 38\% | 21\% |
| 91st to 95th Percentlle | 21.3\% | 23.3\% | -1\% | 23.2\% | 1\% | 52\% | 9\% | 31\% | 0\% |
| 96 th to 98 th Percentile | 19.5\% | 23.8\% | -1\% | 14.8\% | 2\% | 58\% | 22\% | 20\% | -38\% |
| 99th Percentile | 3.9\% | 5.5\% | -7\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 66\% | 40\% | 7\% | -78\% |
| Class Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Quintile | 9.1\% | 7.5\% | -7\% | 10.9\% | -5\% | 39\% | -17\% | 46\% | 45\% |
| Second Quintlie | 15.3\% | 13.1\% | -7\% | 17.9\% | -3\% | 40\% | -15\% | 43\% | 37\% |
| Third Quintile | 19.9\% | 18.8\% | 1\% | 21.5\% | 1\% | 45\% | -5\% | 36\% | 14\% |
| Fourth Quintile | 24.9\% | 24.3\% | 0\% | 24.6\% | 3\% | 46\% | -2\% | 32\% | 1\% |
| 81st to 90th Percentlle | 14.1\% | 15.3\% | 0\% | 12.2\% | 2\% | 51\% | 8\% | 25\% | -20\% |
| 91st to 95th Percentlle | 7.9\% | 9.6\% | 0\% | 7.0\% | 0\% | 57\% | 22\% | 23\% | -27\% |
| 96th to 99th Percentile | 8.8\% | 11.4\% | 1\% | 5.8\% | 2\% | 61\% | 29\% | 16\% | -49\% |
| Home Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England | 13.0\% | 13.2\% | -3\% | 14.5\% | -7\% | 48\% | 7\% | 35\% | 10\% |
| Middle Atlantic | 26.9\% | 27.8\% | -76\% | 32.4\% | -20\% | 49\% | 3\% | 37\% | 17\% |
| South Atlantic | 34.1\% | 32.9\% | 14\% | 32.0\% | 14\% | 46\% | -3\% | 31\% | -3\% |
| East North Central | 4.7\% | 5.0\% | 2\% | 4.2\% | 2\% | 50\% | 6\% | 26\% | -16\% |
| East South Central | 3.3\% | 3.3\% | 1\% | 2.8\% | 2\% | 47\% | 0\% | 27\% | -15\% |
| West North Central | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 1\% | 1.4\% | 0\% | 44\% | -6\% | 28\% | -13\% |
| West South Central | 3.5\% | 2.8\% | 1\% | 1.9\% | 1\% | 38\% | -20\% | 21\% | -32\% |
| Mountain | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | 0\% | 1.8\% | 0\% | 45\% | -5\% | 27\% | -74\% |
| Pacific | 10.4\% | 11.1\% | 1\% | 8.8\% | 3\% | 50\% | 7\% | 25\% | -21\% |
| 25-50 miles | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | -2\% | 4.2\% | -3\% | 45\% | -4\% | 26\% | -18\% |
| 50-100 miles | 7.9\% | 8.2\% | -6\% | 8.7\% | -17\% | 49\% | 4\% | 33\% | 6\% |
| within 100 miles | 28.3\% | 26.1\% | -3\% | 25.6\% | -9\% | 43\% | -8\% | 31\% | -2\% |
| 100-200 miles | 14.8\% | 15.4\% | -8\% | 18.2\% | -17\% | 49\% | 4\% | 37\% | 18\% |
| 200-500 miles | 22.6\% | 24.2\% | 3\% | 23.1\% | 8\% | 50\% | 7\% | 30\% | -4\% |
| 500-1000 miles | 15.5\% | 14.9\% | 4\% | 12.3\% | 8\% | 45\% | -4\% | 26\% | -18\% |
| beyond 1000 miles | 18.6\% | 19.5\% | 4\% | 20.8\% | 10\% | 49\% | 5\% | 34\% | 7\% |

## Student Populations and Outcomes: Public Peer Cohort

|  | Applicants $(21,602)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Admits } \\ & (12,824) \end{aligned}$ | Difference from Sublect | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enrollments } \\ & (2,844) \end{aligned}$ | Difference from Sublect | Acceptance Rate (59\%) | Deviation from Average | Enrollment <br> Yield (22\%) | Deviation from Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity and Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indlan or Alaska Native | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 59\% | -7\% | 23\% | 3\% |
| Aslan | 16.9\% | 18.4\% | 3\% | 13.4\% | 5\% | 64\% | 9\% | 16\% | -27\% |
| Black or African American | 8.6\% | 8.1\% | 1\% | 7.0\% | 0\% | 57\% | -5\% | 19\% | -14\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 12.0\% | 12.6\% | 0\% | 9.1\% | -4\% | 63\% | 6\% | 16\% | -28\% |
| Native Hawalian or Pacific Islander | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 88\% | 49\% | 23\% | 3\% |
| White | 73.4\% | 72.1\% | -4\% | 78.3\% | -5\% | 58\% | -2\% | 24\% | 9\% |
| Biological Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 54.1\% | 55.9\% | 2\% | 53.1\% | 0\% | 61\% | 3\% | 21\% | -5\% |
| Male | 45.8\% | 43.9\% | -7\% | 46.7\% | 0\% | 57\% | -4\% | 24\% | 6\% |
| Rather Not Say | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 59\% | -1\% | 45\% | 103\% |
| First-Generation Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 9.6\% | 8.3\% | 0\% | 6.7\% | -3\% | 52\% | -13\% | 18\% | -20\% |
| No | 90.4\% | 91.7\% | 0\% | 93.3\% | 3\% | 60\% | 1\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Household Income Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 1\% | 2.4\% | 2\% | 62\% | 4\% | 20\% | -8\% |
| Second | 4.6\% | 4.9\% | 2\% | 5.0\% | 4\% | 65\% | 9\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Third | 6.3\% | 6.6\% | 1\% | 7.5\% | 1\% | 62\% | 5\% | 25\% | 15\% |
| Fourth | 12.1\% | 12.2\% | 1\% | 12.4\% | 4\% | 60\% | 1\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| Highest | 74.5\% | 73.6\% | -6\% | 72.7\% | -10\% | $59 \%$ | $-7 \%$ $2 \%$ | $22 \%$ $22 \%$ | $-1 \%$ $-2 \%$ |
| High School Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public, Regular | 29.0\% | 30.1\% | 7\% | 30.3\% | 4\% | 62\% | 4\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| Public, Title 1 | 10.4\% | 9.8\% | 0\% | 10.9\% | 0\% | 56\% | -6\% | 25\% | 11\% |
| Private, Top 1000 | 43.5\% | 43.4\% | -8\% | 40.2\% | -6\% | 59\% | 0\% | 21\% | -7\% |
| Private, Unranked | 17.0\% | 16.6\% | 2\% | 18.6\% | 3\% | 58\% | -2\% | 25\% | 12\% |
| Standardized Test Score National Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Quintile | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0.7\% | 0\% | 26\% | -57\% | 34\% | 57\% |
| Second Quintlie | 4.1\% | 2.1\% | 0\% | 2.7\% | -2\% | 30\% | -49\% | 28\% | 27\% |
| Third Quintlle | 6.6\% | 3.8\% | 1\% | 3.9\% | -1\% | 34\% | -43\% | 23\% | 4\% |
| Fourth Quintile | 26.5\% | 21.2\% | 1\% | 27.0\% | -3\% | 48\% | -20\% | 28\% | 27\% |
| 81st to 90th Percentile | 19.7\% | 19.9\% | 1\% | 21.9\% | -2\% | 60\% | 1\% | 24\% | 10\% |
| 91st to 95th Percentile | 19.6\% | 23.1\% | -1\% | 23.0\% | 1\% | 70\% | 18\% | 22\% | 0\% |
| 96 th to 98 th Percentlie | 17.7\% | 23.0\% | -2\% | 17.7\% | 5\% | 77\% | 30\% | 17\% | -23\% |
| 99th Percentile | 4.7\% | 6.5\% | 0\% | 3.0\% | 2\% | 83\% | 40\% | 10\% | -54\% |
| Class Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Quintile | 9.4\% | 4.1\% | -5\% | 4.2\% | -12\% | 26\% | -56\% | 23\% | 3\% |
| Second Quintile | 16.8\% | 10.1\% | -4\% | 10.4\% | -10\% | 36\% | -40\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Third Quintile | 21.0\% | 18.6\% | 1\% | 19.4\% | -1\% | 53\% | -11\% | 23\% | 4\% |
| Fourth Quintile | 24.6\% | 28.5\% | 4\% | 31.5\% | 10\% | 69\% | 16\% | 25\% | 11\% |
| 81st to 90th Percentile | 13.4\% | 18.0\% | 3\% | 18.4\% | 8\% | 80\% | 35\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| 91st to 95th Percentile | 6.9\% | 9.8\% | 0\% | 8.8\% | 2\% | 84\% | 42\% | 20\% | -11\% |
| 96th to 99th Percentile | 7.8\% | 11.0\% | 1\% | 7.3\% | 3\% | 84\% | 41\% | 15\% | -33\% |
| Home Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England | 10.9\% | 9.2\% | -7\% | 8.3\% | -7\% | 50\% | -76\% | 20\% | -9\% |
| Middle Atlantic | 28.1\% | 27.9\% | -16\% | 27.0\% | -26\% | 59\% | 0\% | 21\% | -3\% |
| South Atlantic | 33.0\% | 34.8\% | 16\% | 37.2\% | 19\% | 63\% | 5\% | 24\% | 7\% |
| East North Central | 11.5\% | 12.8\% | 10\% | 17.8\% | 15\% | 66\% | 11\% | 31\% | 39\% |
| East South Central | 4.9\% | 4.9\% | 2\% | 3.7\% | 3\% | 59\% | 0\% | 17\% | -25\% |
| West North Central | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 1\% | 1.9\% | 1\% | 54\% | -9\% | 21\% | -5\% |
| West South Central | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 1\% | 1.3\% | 1\% | 57\% | -4\% | 11\% | -48\% |
| Mountain | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | -1\% | 0.9\% | -1\% | 48\% | -19\% | 15\% | -31\% |
| Pacific | 5.2\% | 4.5\% | -6\% | 1.9\% | -4\% | 51\% | -14\% | 9\% | -58\% |
| 25-50 miles | 5.6\% | 5.3\% | -2\% | 6.3\% | -1\% | 56\% | -6\% | 26\% | 19\% |
| 50-100 miles | 10.0\% | 9.7\% | -5\% | 11.2\% | -15\% | 57\% | -3\% | 26\% | 16\% |
| within 100 miles | 27.8\% | 26.6\% | 30\% | 24.5\% | -1\% | 57\% | -4\% | 20\% | -8\% |
| 100-200 miles | 19.6\% | 19.9\% | -4\% | 24.8\% | -10\% | 60\% | 1\% | 28\% | 25\% |
| 200-500 miles | 23.9\% | 25.6\% | 5\% | 29.1\% | 14\% | 64\% | 7\% | 25\% | 14\% |
| 500-1000 miles | 16.8\% | 17.4\% | 6\% | 18.0\% | 14\% | 61\% | 4\% | 23\% | 3\% |
| beyond 1000 miles | 11.9\% | 10.6\% | -4\% | 3.5\% | -7\% | 53\% | -11\% | 7\% | -67\% |

## Enrollment Survey Questionnaire: Awards and Satisfaction Levels

Sample University

| Awards and Satisfaction Levels | Admits <br> $(1,663)$ | Enrollments <br> $(504)$ | Non- <br> Enrollments <br> $(1,159)$ | Enrollment <br> Yleld (30\%) | Deviation <br> from <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recelved Financial Ald | $59 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Percelved Financial Ald to be Fair | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | $75 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Recelved Merit Award | $50 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
| Percelved Merit Ald to be Falr | $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $81 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Enrolling in 1st Choice | NA | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | NM | NM |

## Private Competitor Cohort

| Awards and Satisfaction Levels | Admits <br> $(8,123)$ | Difference <br> from Subject | Enrollments <br> $(2,567)$ | Difference <br> from Subject | Enrollment <br> Yleld (32\%) | Deviation <br> from <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recelved Financial Ald | $67 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Percelved Financial Ald to be Fair | $75 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Recelved Merit Award | $52 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Percelved Merit Ald to be Fair | $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ | $2 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Enrolling in 1st Choice | NA | NM | $73 \%$ | $4 \%$ | NM | NM |

## Public Competitor Cohort

| Awards and Satisfaction Levels | Admits <br> $(12,824)$ | Difference <br> from Subject | Enrolliments <br> $(2,844)$ | Difference <br> from Subject | Enrollment <br> Yield (22\%) | Deviation <br> from <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recelved Financial Ald | $59 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $-15 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Percelved Financlal Ald to be Fair | $68 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $-12 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $-7 \%$ |
| Recelved Merit Award | $48 \%$ | $-2 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Percelved Merit Ald to be Fair | $77 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Enrolling in 1st Choice | NA | NM | $71 \%$ | $2 \%$ | NM | NM |

## Enrollment Survey Questionnaire: Factors Influencing Decision-Making

Where to Apply
Sample University

| Ranking | Rating <br> $(\max =4.0)$ | Influencing Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | 3.53 | Visit to campus |
| 2nd | 3.04 | Parent or guardian |
| 3rd | 2.73 | College website |
| 4th | 2.45 | High school counselor |
| 5th | 2.28 | Other college search websites or search engines |
| 6th | 2.23 | College webinar or virtual information session |
| 7th | 2.20 | College social media |

## Private Competitor Cohort

| Ranking | Rating <br> $(m a x=4.0)$ | Influencing Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | 3.52 | Visit to campus |
| 2nd | 2.97 | Parent or guardian |
| 3rd | 2.67 | College website |
| 4th | 2.41 | College social media |
| 5th | 2.29 | College webinar or virtual information session |
| 6th | 2.26 | High school counselor |
| 7th | 2.24 | College admissions staff |

## Public Competitor Cohort

| Ranking | Rating <br> $(\mathbf{m a x}=4.0)$ | Influencing Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | 3.43 | Visit to campus |
| 2nd | 2.93 | Parent or guardian |
| 3rd | 2.48 | College website |
| 4th | 2.32 | College social media |
| 5th | 2.11 | Friends |
| 6th | 2.10 | College letters, brochures, emalls, texts |
| 7th | 2.07 | Other college search websites or search engines |

Where to Enroll
Sample University (Enrolled Students)

| Ranking | Rating <br> $($ max=4.0 $)$ | Influencing Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | 3.54 | Academic reputation for what you want to study |
| 2nd | 3.52 | Attractiveness of campus |
| 3rd | 3.50 | Reputation for helping students get jobs after graduation |
| 4th | 3.42 | Value for money |
| 5th | 3.38 | Campus visit experience |
| 6th | 3.18 | Variety of student clubs and organizations |
| 7th | 3.16 | Student social life and school spirit |

## Private Competitor Cohort

| Ranking | Rating <br> $($ max $=4.0)$ | Influencing Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | 3.58 | Academic reputation for what you want to study |
| 2nd | 3.47 | Attractiveness of campus |
| 3rd | 3.45 | Surrounding location of campus |
| 4th | 3.40 | Reputation for helping students get jobs after graduation |
| 5th | 3.37 | Campus visit experience |
| 6th | 3.26 | Value for money |
| 7th | 3.19 | Variety of student clubs and organizations |

## Public Competitor Cohort

| Ranking | Rating <br> $($ max $=4.0)$ | Influencing Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | 3.46 | Attractiveness of campus |
| 2nd | 3.43 | Academic reputation for what you want to study |
| 3rd | 3.40 | Student social life and school spirit |
| 4th | 3.33 | Reputation for helping students get jobs after graduation |
| 5th | 3.32 | Value for money |
| 6th | 3.30 | Surrounding location of campus |
| 7th | 3.20 | Campus visit experience |

Sample University (Non-Enrolled Students)

| Ranking | Rating <br> $(\boldsymbol{m a x}=4.0)$ | Influencing Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | 3.42 | Academic reputation for what you want to study |
| 2nd | 3.41 | Reputation for helping students get jobs after graduation |
| 3rd | 3.38 | Attractiveness of campus |
| 4th | 3.27 | Campus visit experience |
| 5th | 3.24 | Value for money |
| 6th | 3.21 | Surrounding location of campus |
| 7th | 3.20 | Student social life and school spirit |

